| *Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary* | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/728,278 | RABER, PETER E. |
| | **Examiner** | **Art Unit** | |
| | Evelyn A. Lester | 2873 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Evelyn A. Lester.*

(2) *Morgan S. Heller, II (reg. no. 44,756).*

**Status of Application:** *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *9 December 2005*      **Time:** *approx. 14:30 EST*

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  ☐ Yes    ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description:      .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*None*

Claims discussed:
*1-17,31-41,63-81*

Prior art documents discussed:
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ 12/9/05      _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)              (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  Claims directed to the non-elected invention(s) needed to be cancelled in order to allow the application. Applicant's representative confirmed that the claims could be cancelled, especially in light of the office action mailed on 5-17-05. The claims to the non-elected invention(s) have hereby been cancelled (note Examiner's Amendment attached)..